## ORIGINAL

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28



Court S. Rich AZ Bar No. 021290
Rose Law Group pc
7144 E. Stetson Drive, Suite 300
Scottsdale, Arizona 85251
Email: CRich@RoseLawGroup.com
Direct: (480) 505-3937
Attorney for Energy Freedom Coalition of America

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL

2016 DEC 12 P 4: 18

### BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

DOUG LITTLE CHAIRMAN

IN THE MATTER OF THE

BOB STUMP COMMISSIONER BOB BURNS COMMISSIONER

TOM FORESE COMMISSIONER

ANDY TOBIN COMMISSIONER

COMMIS

DOCKET NO. E-01345A-16-0036

APPLICATION OF ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY FOR A

DOCKET NO. E-01345A-16-0123

HEARING TO DETERMINE THE FAIR

Arizona Corporation Commission

VALUE OF THE UTILITY PROPERTY OF THE COMPANY FOR

DOCKETED

RATEMAKING PURPOSES, TO FIX A

DEC 1 2 2016

JUST AND REASONABLE RATE OF RETURN THEREON, TO APPROVE RATE SCHEDULES DESIGNED TO DEVELOP SUCH RETURN.

DOCKETED BY 66

IN THE MATTER OF FUEL AND PURCHASED POWER PROCUREMENT AUDITS FOR ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY.

EMERGENCY MOTION TO COMPEL PRODUCTION OF REPORT REGARDING RATE IMPACT

Energy Freedom Coalition of America, LLC ("EFCA") requests the Commission compel Arizona Public Service Company (the "Company") to produce a report the Company commissioned regarding its customers' reactions to rate changes. The document exists and is highly responsive to a data request. Nonetheless, and with no legitimate justification, the Company has refused to provide EFCA with an actual copy of the report, instead severely restricting EFCA's access to and ability to use the document by requiring EFCA to review it in isolation, at the Company's facilities, under the Company's supervision. These restrictions unfairly constrict and

1 2 3

prejudice EFCA's ability to prepare its case, including most urgently its ability to prepare for witness depositions. The Company should be compelled to provide EFCA with an actual copy of the report, as it must with any responsive document.

### MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

The Company's controversial and unprecedented rate proposal seeks to impose demand charges on approximately one million Arizonans. In addition, the Company proposes abolishing net metering and requiring all future distributed generation solar ("DG") customers to take service only under the most onerous of its new mandatory residential rate structures with the highest demand charges and the lowest volumetric rates.

The Company conducted an undisclosed study on how rate changes would impact DG in Arizona. It then presented Barbara Lockwood's pre-filed testimony touching on the same issue.

To prepare for Ms. Lockwood's deposition, EFCA sent the Company the following data requests:

**Data Request** 7.5 – Please provide any and all studies or analysis performed by or for the Company or that the Company knows to exist that attempt to predict or in any way analyze the impact of the Company's rate proposal (or any part thereof) on the future rate of adoption of DG.

**Data Request 7.6** - Please provide any and all studies or analysis performed by or for the Company or that the Company knows to exist that attempt to predict or in any way analyze the impact of the Company's rate proposal (or any part thereof) on the future economics of DG to the customer or to the solar provider.

The Company does have a report addressing "the impact of the Company's rate proposal" on the "future rate of adoption of DG" – the result of the undisclosed study noted above, on how rate changes would impact DG in Arizona. The Company admits the report exists and does not deny it is responsive to EFCA's requests.

However, the Company refuses to provide EFCA with a copy of the report, as the Rules require. The Company grounds its refusal to comply with the Rules on its assertion that the report is "competitively confidential." Instead of complying with its discovery obligations by providing the report that it identified, the Company unilaterally imposed an eyes-only, in-person inspection restriction on this report.

The Company had no basis for this unilateral restriction. In fact, on November 18, 2016, four days after the Company served objections, the Company and EFCA stipulated to a standard protective agreement with a procedure to protect "highly confidential" information that "could be 3 used to gain a competitive market advantage." This classification limits review to designated 4 attorneys and experts, prohibits broader disclosure of highly confidential documents, and provides for using them under seal in Commission proceedings. But it does not permit the Company to limit 6 review of any documents to eyes-only, on-site inspection. Nowhere does the protective agreement 7 provide any basis for requiring in-person review of any documents. 8

Nonetheless, during personal consultation about this report, the Company reneged on the protective agreement and renewed its insistence on eyes-only, in-person inspection. Although EFCA initially acquiesced to the Company's in-person inspection requirement, in hopes of avoiding another discovery dispute, EFCA's review confirmed the report's crucial importance. EFCA's counsel needs consistent and predictable access to the report to prepare for deposition, witness testimony, and the hearing.

After conducting an in person inspection, EFCA personally consulted again with the Company and requested that the Company provide a copy of the report. In fact, the Company will not make it available for Ms. Lockwood's deposition in any way unless EFCA signs an agreement legitimizing its in-person, eyes-only restriction.

Even though EFCA doubts any part of the report deserves confidential treatment, EFCA suggested the Company disclose it subject to a "highly confidential" designation, but the Company would not compromise.

The Company's intransigence presents the following issue: The civil rules and Commission regulations exist to facilitate efficient exchange of information. May the Company unilaterally restrict EFCA's ability to prepare its case by forcing EFCA's counsel to make an appointment, drive to Company offices, and study documents during limited times while being monitored by the Company?

1

2

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

<sup>1</sup> Ex. 1 (Protective Agreement) at 4.

### I. Projected economic consequences are not confidential.

Some circumstances may legitimately require the balancing of discovery with competitive concerns. Competitive confidentiality claims typically focus on trade secrets or other information a protecting party relies on to compete.<sup>2</sup> In other words, business information may qualify as competitively confidential or trade secret if its owner maintains its secrecy and derives a competitive advantage by keeping it secret.<sup>3</sup> The stipulated protective agreement recognizes a similar standard.

If a company intentionally keeps prices secret to avoid undercutting by its competition, for example, the secret prices may be competitively confidential.<sup>4</sup> But the Company does not do that: the Commission regulates the Company's prices and publishes approved rates. Not only did the Company publicly reveal its rate proposal in this proceeding, but it hosted public sessions to publicly explain that proposal.

Economic fallout from the Company's rates is public, too. This Commission openly and vigorously debates rate structures regularly, and publicly, often touching upon economic consequences of a rate structure.

The Company does not and cannot claim it will suffer competitive harm if it releases the report. Indeed, it has not even alleged any harm that would result to anyone were the Company to produce the report in full, in public. Instead, it claims *some* third-party solar providers may gain an advantage over *other* third-party solar providers—not over the Company—if the Company were to disclose the report piecemeal.

There are many flaws in this position. First, of course, no one is asking the Company to release the report piecemeal. Certainly EFCA is not. The Company is thus refusing to comply with its discovery obligations out of an imaginary concern.

Second, the Company could avoid this alleged piecemeal problem simply by producing the report, publicly, in its entirety at the same time it gives EFCA a copy. EFCA has no objection to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> USW. Communications, Inc. v. Wyoming Pub. Serv. Comm'n, 992 P.2d 1092, 1096 (Wyo. 1999).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See, A.R.S. § 44-401.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See, e.g., Sw. Stainless, LP v. Sappington, 582 F.3d 1176, 1189 (10th Cir. 2009).

that. The Company's professed concern about disadvantaged third-party solar providers would be completely addressed by full disclosure.

Third, the Company could simply avail itself of the "highly confidential" classification in the existing protective agreement. On November 18, the Company and EFCA executed and filed a stipulated protective order including separate protection for "confidential" and "highly confidential" documents. The protective agreement stipulates that "highly confidential" protection is sufficient for data that "could be used to gain a competitive market advantage."<sup>5</sup>

A party avails itself of "highly confidential" classification by placing a "highly confidential" stamp on every highly confidential page. Once the party does that, review is limited to designated attorneys and experts. The Company has a chance to object to any particular attorney or expert reviewing the "highly confidential" information.<sup>6</sup> It has a chance to submit that objection to the Commission before a party discloses any highly confidential information to a "challenged individual."

Absent order from this Commission, EFCA agrees to strict restrictions on highly confidential data.

- It must restrict access to a limited number of attorneys and experts
- It cannot share the document with sales or marketing staff
- It cannot file the highly confidential document in the public portion of the record
- It cannot disclose the document to any unauthorized person

To be clear, EFCA does not agree the report qualifies for this level of protection and reserves the right to later challenge this designation. However, merely putting the "highly confidential" label on the document would have barred any public disclosure of the report absent an order from this Commission. The Company has never explained why this protection, which it negotiated and to which it agreed, is not sufficient.

Finally, it is strange that the Company rests its refusal to provide this report on hypothetical concerns about competition between third-party solar providers. It is not the Company's responsibility to govern competition, nor is a professed concern about an alleged possible impact

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Ex. 1 at 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Ex. 1 at 5.

1 2 3

# on some third parties sufficient under the Rules to allow the Company to control how EFCA prepares its case. The Company created the document and concedes its relevance and responsiveness. The Rules accordingly govern its disclosure, not the Company's whims.

### II. EFCA needs a copy to prepare for deposition, witness testimony, and trial.

The Civil Rules and this Commission's regulations exist to foster efficient exchange of information. Rule 26(c) calls upon the tribunal to protect parties from "undue burden or expense." A.A.C. R 14-3-108(A) empowers the Commission "expedite" the proceeding and discovery process. Forcing EFCA to schedule review with the Company, as well as preventing it from using even basic tools to assist in its review, violates the efficiency mandate.

It also violates the specific rules governing document production. Rule 34 lets parties obtain a "copy" of the documents they request. EFCA needs a copy because the relationship between that report and the Company's position in this case is now hotly contested. The Company claims this report supports their position. EFCA will show the report undermines the Company's position.

To prepare for this argument, EFCA needs to spend substantial time comparing the report to other documents in this case. EFCA will compare the report to Ms. Lockwood's pre-filed testimony, the Company's rate proposal, and Ms. Lockwood's eventual deposition testimony. All of this work will be done by counsel.

EFCA also needs to prepare responsive materials. It needs to ensure experts adequately address the report in their pre-filed testimony if necessary. To do that, EFCA needs regular and flexible access to the report by its counsel and experts, including to compare the report and its draft expert testimony side by side. This work is litigation work product, and it is highly inappropriate for the Company to require EFCA to physically prepare work product at the Company's site and to limit the times when EFCA can carry out its analysis and litigation preparation. Even worse, during EFCA's initial review of the document, the Company required one of its employees to be physically in the room with EFCA's attorney during review, making it impossible for EFCA's counsel to have privileged communications with clients during his review. This intrusion into EFCA's ability to prepare its case is astonishing, and completely without justification.

The report is responsive, and EFCA plans to vigorously litigate it as a part of its presentation. Requiring EFCA's counsel to review the document at the Company's convenience, and under its direct supervision, is extremely prejudicial to EFCA's ability to prepare for this trial.

### Conclusion

The Company's unprecedented rate request has statewide and even national consequences. Any attempt to forecast its economic effect deserves vigorous review. EFCA stands ready. It will question the Company's principal witness with that report. It will prepare expert testimony analyzing it. But it needs a copy to do its job.

EFCA requests the administrative law judge order the Company to deliver a copy of the report to EFCA no later than December 13, 2015 so EFCA can use it to depose the Company's executive witness. EFCA will not object to the Company disclosing the report as "highly confidential," subject to EFCA's right to later seek relief from that designation pursuant to Section 5 of the protective agreement.

Respectfully submitted this 2th day of December. 2016.

Court S. Rich

Rose Law Group pc

Attorney for Energy Freedom Coalition of America

1	Original and 13 copies filed on	
2	the 10 day of December, 2016 with:	
3	Docket Control	
4	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 W. Washington Street	
5	Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
6	I hereby certify that I have this day served a copy	of the foregoing document on all parties of
7	record in this proceeding by regular or electronic	
100	Janet Wagner	C. Webb Crockett
. 8	Arizona Corporation Commission	Patrick Black
	Legaldiv@azcc.gov	FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C.
9	JXHatch-Miller@azcc.gov	wcrokett@fclaw.com
TO Sent	chanis@azcc.gov	pblack@fclaw.com
10	wvancleve@azcc.gov	
	eabinah@azcc.gov	Thomas Loquvam
11	tford@azcc.gov	Pinnacle West Capital Corp.
12	evanepps@azcc.gov	Thomas.loquvam@pinnaclewest.com
12	cfitzsimmons@azcc.gov	
13	kchristine@azcc.gov	Greg Eisert
13	mscott@azcc.gov	Steven Puck
14	T' d U	Sun City Homeowners Association
	Timothy Hogan	gregeisert@gmail.com
15	ACLPI thogan@aclpi.org	steven.puck@cox.net
	ken.wilson@westernresources.org	Warren Woodward
16	schlegelj@aol.com	w6345789@yahoo.com
	ezuckerman@swenergy.org	w0343783@yanoo.com
17	bbaatz@aceee.org	Richard Gayer
Pro-A-S	briana@votesolar.org	rgayer@cox.net
18	cosuala@earthjustice.org	·guyor@commet
COMM	dbender@earthjustice.org	Craig Marks
19	cfitzgerrell@earthjustice.org	AURA
20		craig.marks@azbar.org
20	Anthony Wanger	pat.quinn47474@gmail.com
21	Alan Kierman	
21	IO DATA CENTERS, LLC	Al Gervenack
22	t@io.com	Rob Robbins
22	akierman@io.com	Property Owners & Residents Assoc.
23		al.gervenack@porascw.org
	Meghan Grabel	rob.robbins@porascw.org
24	OSBORN MALEDON, PA	
	mgrabel@omlaw.com	Tom Harris
25	gyaquinto@arizonaic.org	AriSEIA
15-21	Daniel Pozefsky	tom.harris@ariseia.org
26	RUCO	Cynthia Zwick
2000	dpozefsky@azruco.gov	Kevin Hengehold
27	apozotoky (wazi uco.gov	ACCA
	Patricia Ferre	czwick@azcaa.org
28	pferreact@mac.com	khengehold@azcaa.org
1		

- 1		
		Albert H. Acken
1	Jay Moyes	Samuel L. Lofland
	Moyes Sellers & Hendricks LTD	Ryley Carlock & Applewhite
2	jasonmoyes@law-msh.com	ssweeney@rcalaw.com
	jimoyes@law-msh.com	aacken@rcalaw.com
3	jim@harcuvar.com	slofland@rcalaw.com
87.1	5400	
4	Kurt Boehm	Jeffrey J. Woner
1000	Jody Kyler Cohn	K.R. Saline & Associates
5	Boehm Kurtz & Lowry	jjw@krsaline.com
222	kboehm@bkllawfirm.com	
6	jkylercohn@bkllawfirm.com	Thomas A. Jernigan
922		Ebony Payton
7	John William Moore, Jr.	AFCEC/JA-ULFSC
_	Kroger	thomas.jernigan.3@us.af.mil
8	jmoore@mbmblaw.com	ebony.payton.ctr@us.af.mil
9	Lawrence V. Robertson, Jr.	John B. Coffman
	Noble Americas Energy Solutions LLC	john@johncoffman.net
10	tubaclawyer@aol.com	Ann-Marie Anderson
		Wright Welker & Pauole, PLC
11	Michael Patten	aanderson@wwpfirm.com
	Jason Gellman	aallen@wwpfirm.com
12	Snell & Wilmer LLP	
	mpatten@swlaw.com	Steve Jennings
13	jgellman@swlaw.com	AARP Arizona
	docket@swlaw.com	sjennings@aarp.org
14	bcarroll@tep.com	S ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPERTY O
1.5	200 miles (190 miles 200 m	Garry D. Hays
15	Charles Wesselhoft	ASDA
16	Pima County Attorney's Office	ghays@lawgdh.com
10	charles.wesselhoft@pcao.pima.gov	
17		Robert L. Pickels, Jr.
17	Giancarlo Estrada	Sedona City Attorney's Office
18	Kamper Estrada LLP	rpickels@sedonaaz.gov
10	gestrada@lawphx.com	
19		Jason Pistiner
***	Greg Patterson	Singer Pistiner PC
20	Munger Chadwick	jp@singerpistiner.com
	greg@azcpa.org	kfox@kfwlaw.com
21		kcrandall@eq-research.com
77.53	Nicholas Enoch	
22	Kaitlyn Redfield-Ortiz	Thomas E. Stewart
10000	Emily Tornabene	Granite Creek Power & Gas LLC
23	Lubin & Enoch PC	Granite Creek Farms LLC
	nick@lubinandenoch.com	tom@gcfaz.com
24		
1	Scott Wakefield	Denis Fitzgibbons
25	Hienton Curry, PLLC	Fitzgibbons Law Offices, PLC
	swakefield@hclawgroup.com	denis@fitzgibbonslaw.com
26	mlougee@hclawgroup.com	management of the second of
	stephen.chriss@wal-mart.com	Timothy J. Sabo
27	greg.tillman@wal-mart.com	Snell & Wilmer, LLP
9070A	chris.hetidrix@wal-mart.com	tsabo@swlaw.com
28		jhoward@swlaw.com
1	1 X ( ) \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	pwalker@conservamerica.org
	By: A MI LANGEM	

# EXHIBIT 1

### BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

### COMMISSIONERS

3 DOUG LITTLE - Chairman BOB STUMP 4 BOB BURNS TOM FORESE 5 ANDY TOBIN

6

1

7 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION
OF ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE
8 COMPANY FOR A HEARING TO
DETERMINE THE FAIR VALUE OF THE
9 UTILITY PROPERTY OF THE COMPANY
FOR RATEMAKING PURPOSES, TO FIX A
10 JUST AND REASONABLE RATE OF
RETURN THEREON, TO APPROVE RATE
11 SCHEDULES DESIGNED TO DEVELOP
SUCH RETURN.

DOCKET NO. E-01345A-16-0036

13 IN THE MATTER OF FUEL AND PURCHASED POWER PROCUREMENT AUDTIS FOR ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY.

DOCKET NO. E-01345A-16-0123

PROTECTIVE AGREEMENT

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

12

The Energy Freedom Coalition of America, LLC ("EFCA") has requested access to certain documents, data, studies, and other materials, some of which Arizona Public Service Company ("APS" or "Company") or its affiliates considers to be of a proprietary, confidential or legally protected nature as defined below. Some of the Confidential Information that falls within the scope of EFCA's request may also be considered by the Company to be Highly Confidential Information, as defined below. APS also foresees the possibility of seeking Confidential Information from EFCA during the course of this matter.

In order to facilitate the exchange of Confidential information between APS and EFCA (collectively referred to as the "Parties"), including but not limited to, Highly Confidential Information, the Parties agree to the terms of this Protective Agreement ("Agreement") as follows:

28

### 1. (a) Confidential Information.

All documents, data, studies and other materials furnished pursuant to any requests for information, subpoenas or other modes of discovery (formal or informal), including depositions, and other requests for information, that are claimed to be proprietary or confidential (herein referred to as "Confidential Information"), shall be so marked by the providing party by stamping the same with a "Confidential" designation. Confidential Information provided in a computer-readable data file shall be so-labeled on the face of any disc containing the file and in any e-mail transmitting the file, and the data file itself shall be identified in a conspicuous manner as containing "Confidential Information" to the extent reasonably practicable. Moreover, to the extent responsive materials contain personally identifiable information about individual customers, that information shall be redacted from the materials. In addition, all notes or other materials that refer to, derive from, or otherwise contain parts of the Confidential Information will be marked by the receiving party as Confidential Information. Access to and review of Confidential Information shall be strictly controlled by the terms of this Agreement.

- (b) <u>Use of Confidential Information</u>. All persons who may be entitled to review, or who are afforded access to any Confidential Information by reason of this Agreement, shall neither use nor disclose the Confidential Information for purposes of business or competition, or any purpose other than the purpose of preparation for and conduct of proceedings in the above-captioned docket and all subsequent appeals, and shall keep the Confidential Information secure as confidential or proprietary information and in accordance with the purposes, intent and requirements of this Agreement.
- (c) <u>Persons Entitled to Review</u>. Each party that receives Confidential Information pursuant to this Agreement must limit access to such Confidential Information to (1) attorneys employed or retained by the party in the proceedings and the attorneys' staff; (2) experts, consultants and advisors, including in-house employees who need access to the material to assist the party in the proceedings; (3) employees of the party who are directly involved in the proceedings, provided that counsel for the party represents that no such

(d) Nondisclosure Agreement. Any party, person, or entity that receives Confidential Information pursuant to this Agreement shall not disclose such Confidential Information to any person, except persons who are described in section 1(c) above and who have signed a nondisclosure agreement in the form which is attached hereto and incorporated herein as Exhibit "A."

The nondisclosure agreement for Confidential Information (Exhibit "A") shall require the person(s) to whom disclosure is to be made to read a copy of the Protective Agreement and to certify in writing that they have reviewed the same and have consented to be bound by its terms. The agreement shall contain the signatory's full name, employer, job title and job description, business address and the name of the party with whom the signatory is associated. Such agreement shall be delivered to counsel for the producing party before disclosure is made. An attorney who makes Confidential Information available to any person listed in subsection (c) above shall be responsible for having each such person execute an original of Exhibit "A" and a copy of all such signed Exhibit "A"s shall be sent to Company promptly after execution.

- 2. (a) Notes. Limited notes regarding Confidential Information may be taken by counsel and experts for the express purpose of preparing pleadings, cross-examinations, briefs, motions and argument in connection with this proceeding, or in the case of persons designated in section 1(c) of this Protective Agreement, to prepare for participation in this proceeding. Such notes shall then be treated as Confidential Information for purposes of this Agreement, and shall be destroyed after the final settlement or conclusion of the proceedings in accordance with subsection 2(b) below.
- (b) Return. All notes, to the extent they contain Confidential Information and are protected by the attorney-client privilege or the work product doctrine, shall be destroyed after the final settlement or conclusion of the proceedings. The party destroying such Confidential Information shall advise the providing party of that fact within a reasonable time from the date of destruction.

3. Highly Confidential Information. Any person, whether a party or non-party, may designate certain competitively sensitive Confidential Information as "Highly Confidential Information" if it determines in good faith that it would be competitively disadvantaged by the disclosure of such information to its competitors. Highly Confidential Information includes, but is not limited to, documents, pleadings, briefs and appropriate portions of deposition transcripts, which contain information that is protected by a pre-existing confidentiality agreement with a third party or could otherwise be used to obtain a competitive market advantage.

Parties must scrutinize carefully responsive documents and information and limit their designations as Highly Confidential Information to information that is directly covered by a pre-existing confidentiality agreement or otherwise truly might impose a serious business risk if disseminated without the heightened protections provided in this section. The first page and individual pages of a document determined in good faith to include Highly Confidential Information must be marked by a stamp that reads:

### "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL"

Placing a "Highly Confidential" stamp on the first page of a document indicates only that one or more pages contain Highly Confidential Information and will not serve to protect the entire contents of a multi-page document. Each page that contains Highly Confidential Information must be marked separately to indicate Highly Confidential Information, even where that information has been redacted. The unredacted paper versions of each page containing Highly Confidential Information, and provided under seal, should be submitted on paper distinct in color from non-confidential information and "Confidential Information" described in Section 1 of this Protective Agreement. Highly Confidential Information provided in a computer-readable data file shall be so-labeled on the face of any disc containing the file and in any e-mail transmitting the file, and the data file itself shall be identified in a conspicuous manner as containing "Highly Confidential Information" to the extent reasonably practicable.

Parties seeking disclosure of Highly Confidential Information must designate the

person(s) to whom they would like the Highly Confidential Information disclosed in advance of disclosure by the providing party. Such designation may occur through the submission of Exhibit "B" of the non-disclosure agreement for Highly Confidential Information identified in Section 1(d). Parties seeking disclosure of Highly Confidential Information shall not designate more than: (1) a reasonable number of in-house attorneys who have direct responsibility for matters relating to Highly Confidential Information; (2) a reasonable number of in-house experts and employees who need access to the material to assist the party in the proceedings; and, (3) a reasonable number of outside counsel and outside experts to review materials marked as "Highly Confidential." The Exhibit "B" also shall describe in detail the job duties or responsibilities of the person being designated to see Highly Confidential Information and the person's role in the proceeding. Highly Confidential

services on behalf of any party.

Any party providing either Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information may object to the designation of any individual as a person who may review Confidential Information and/or Highly Confidential Information. Such objection shall be made in writing to counsel submitting the challenged individual's Exhibit "A" or "B". Any such objection must demonstrate good cause to exclude the challenged individual from the review of the Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information. Written response to any objection shall be made within two (2) business days after receipt of an objection. If, after receiving a written response to a party's objection, the objecting party still objects to disclosure of either Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information to the challenged individual, the Commission shall determine whether Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information must be disclosed to the challenged individual.

Information may not be disclosed to persons engaged in the sale or marketing of products or

Copies of Highly Confidential Information may be provided to the in-house attorneys, in-house experts, outside counsel and outside experts who have signed Exhibit "B".

Persons authorized to review the Highly Confidential Information will maintain the documents and any notes reflecting their contents in a secure location to which only

designated counsel and experts have access. No additional copies will be made, except for use during hearings and then such disclosure and copies shall be subject to the provisions of Section 5. Any testimony or exhibits prepared that reflect Highly Confidential Information must be maintained in the secure location until removed to the hearing room for production under seal. Unless specifically addressed in this section, all other sections of this Protective Agreement applicable to Confidential Information also apply to Highly Confidential Information.

- 4. Objections to Admissibility. The furnishing of any document, data, study or other materials pursuant to this Protective Agreement shall in no way limit the right of the providing party to object to its relevance or admissibility in proceedings before the Commission or any judicial body.
- 5. <u>Disclosure of Information to the Public</u>. The Confidential Information provided pursuant to this Agreement, including any Highly Confidential Information, shall not be disclosed to any person not authorized to review it under the terms of this Agreement, nor shall it be made a part of the public record in the above captioned dockets, or in any other administrative or legal proceeding, unless receiving party provides producing party with five (5) business days written notice that it challenges the producing party's designation of the information as legally protected and intends that certain, specifically identified information shall be subject to wider dissemination or public disclosure. Upon the expiration of five (5) business days from the date such written notice is received by producing party, any Confidential Information specifically identified in the notice as subject to public disclosure may become part of the public record in this docket, unless producing party initiates a protective proceeding under the terms of Paragraph 6 to this Agreement.
- 6. Protective Proceedings to Prevent Disclosure to the Public. In the event that producing party seeks to prevent disclosure of Confidential Information, including Highly Confidential Information, pursuant to Paragraph 5 above, producing party shall file within five (5) business days of receiving written notice of the receiving party's intent to disclose such information, a motion presenting the specific grounds upon which it claims that the

1 Confidential Information should not be disclosed or should not be made a part of the public 2 record. The receiving party shall have an opportunity to respond to the motion. The motion 3 may be ruled upon by either the Commission or an assigned ALJ. The producing party may provide to the Commission or the ALJ, the Confidential Information referenced in the motion 4 5 6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

without waiver of its position that the information should be kept confidential under the terms of this Agreement. Any Confidential Information so provided shall be filed and kept under seal for the purpose of permitting inspection by the Commission or the ALJ before ruling on the motion.

Notwithstanding any determination by the ALJ or the Commission that any Confidential Information provided pursuant to this Agreement should be made a part of the public record or otherwise disclosed, such disclosure shall not occur for a period of five (5) business days after such determination so that the providing party may seek judicial relief from the ALJ's or the Commission's decision. Upon expiration of the five (5) day period, the Commission may release the information to the public unless the producing party has received a stay or determination from a court of competent jurisdiction that the Confidential Information should not be disclosed.

- 7. Receipt into Evidence. Provision is hereby made for receipt into (a) evidence in this proceeding materials claimed to be confidential in the following manner:
  - Prior to the use of or substantive reference to any Confidential (1) Information or Highly Confidential Information, the parties intending to use such Information shall make that intention known to the providing party.
  - (2) The requesting party and the providing party shall make a goodfaith effort to reach an agreement so the Information can be used in a manner which will not reveal its confidential or proprietary nature.
  - (3) If such efforts fail, the providing party shall separately identify which portions, if any, of the documents to be offered or

referenced shall be placed in a sealed record.

- (4) Only one (1) copy of the documents designated by the providing party to be placed in a sealed record shall be made.
- (5) The copy of the documents to be placed in the sealed record shall be tendered by counsel for the providing party to the Commission, and maintained in accordance with the terms of this Agreement.
- (b) <u>Seal</u>. While in the custody of the Commission, materials containing Confidential Information shall be marked "CONFIDENTIAL UNDER PROTECTIVE AGREEMENT IN DOCKET NO. E-01345A-16-0036" and Highly Confidential Information shall be marked "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL USE RESTRICTED PER PROTECTIVE AGREEMENT IN DOCKET NO. E-01345A-16-0036" and shall not be examined by any person except under the conditions set forth in this Agreement.
- (c) In Camera Hearing. Any Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information that must be orally disclosed to be placed in the sealed record in this proceeding shall be offered in an in camera hearing, attended only by persons authorized to have access to the information under this Agreement. Similarly, any cross-examination on or substantive reference to Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information (or that portion of the record containing Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information or references thereto) shall be received in an in camera hearing, and shall be marked and treated as provided herein.
- (d) Access to Record. Access to sealed testimony, records and information shall be limited to the ALJ, Commissioners, and their respective staffs, and persons who are entitled to review Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information pursuant to Subsection 1(c) above and have signed an Exhibit "A" or "B", unless such information is released from the restrictions of this Agreement either through agreement of the parties or after notice to the parties and hearing, pursuant to the ruling of the ALJ, the order of the Commission and/or final order of a court having final jurisdiction.
  - (e) Appeal/Subsequent Proceedings. Sealed portions of the record in the

7 8 9

10 11

13

14

12

15 16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24 25

26 27 28 proceedings may be forwarded to any court of competent jurisdiction for purposes of an appeal, but under seal as designated herein for the information and use of the court. If a portion of the record is forwarded to a court, the providing party shall be notified which portion of the sealed record has been designated by the appealing party as necessary to the record on appeal.

- Return. Unless otherwise ordered, Confidential Information and Highly **(f)** Confidential Information, including transcripts of any depositions to which a claim of confidentiality is made, shall remain under seal, shall continue to be subject to the protective requirements of this Agreement, and shall, at the providing party's discretion, be returned to counsel for the providing party, or destroyed by the receiving party, within thirty (30) days after final settlement or conclusion of the proceedings. If the providing party elects to have Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information destroyed rather than returned, counsel for the receiving party shall verify in writing that the material has in fact been destroyed.
- 8. Use in Pleadings. Where references to Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information in the sealed record or with the providing party is required in pleadings, briefs, arguments or motions (except as provided in Section 6), it shall be by citation of title or exhibit number or some other description that will not disclose the substantive Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information contained therein. Any use of or substantive references to Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information shall be placed in a separate section of the pleading or brief and submitted to the ALJ or the Commission under seal. This sealed section shall be served only on counsel of record and parties of record who have signed the nondisclosure agreement set forth in Exhibit "A" or "B". All of the restrictions afforded by this Agreement apply to materials prepared and distributed under this section.
- Summary of Record. If deemed necessary by the Commission, the providing 9. party shall prepare a written summary of the Confidential Information or Highly Confidential Information referred to be placed on the public record.

10. No Admission of Privileged or Confidential Status. By agreeing to this Agreement, neither EFCA nor any Party is admitting or agreeing that any of the materials or communications designated as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential" Information are, either in fact or as a matter of law, a trade secret or of a proprietary, confidential or legally protected nature.

### 11. Designated Contacts.

A. EFCA's designated contacts for written notice pertaining to this Agreement are:

Court S. Rich
Rose Law Group pc
7144 East Stetson Drive, Suite 300
Scottsdale, Arizona 85251
CRich@roselawgroup.com

B. APS's designated contacts for written notice pertaining to this Agreement are:

Linda J. Benally
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation
Law Department
400 North 5<sup>th</sup> Street, MS 8695
Phoenix, Arizona 85004
<u>Linda.Benally@pinnaclewest.com</u>

APS State Regulation and Compliance Attn: Kerri Carnes 400 North 5<sup>th</sup> Street, MS 9712 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Ratecase@aps.com

- 12. <u>Breach of Agreement.</u> Any Party, in any legal action or complaint it files in any court alleging breach of this Agreement shall, at the written request of the Commission, name the Arizona Corporation Commission as a Defendant therein.
- 13. Remedies. The Parties acknowledge and agree that an exclusive remedy of money damages would not be a sufficient remedy for any breach of this Agreement, and that in addition to all other remedies to which the Producing Party may be entitled, each such Producing Party may be entitled to: (a) apply to the ALJ or the Commission, as appropriate, for sanctions against the Other Party and its legal counsel; and (b) specific performance

- 8		
1	and/or injunctive or other relief as a rem	edy. Any equitable relief sought or secured
2	hereunder shall not bar recovery of other re	emedies available at law or in equity, including
3	money damages.	
4	14. Non-Termination. The provis	ions of this Agreement shall not terminate at the
5	conclusion of this proceeding.	
6	DATED this 7th day of 1	, 2016.
7	ENERGY FREEDOM COACITION OF	ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY
8	AMÉRICA/LLC)	$\circ$ 0 0
9	Ву:	By will Savalley
10	Court S. Rich Rose Law Group pc	Linda J. Benally Pinnacle West Capital Corporation
11	7144 East Stetson Drive, Suite 300	Law Department
12	Scottsdale, Arizona 85251 Telephone: 480 505-3937	400 North 5 <sup>th</sup> Street, MS 8695 Phoenix, Arizona 85004
13	Facsimile: 480 505-3925	Telephone: 602 250-3630 Facsimile: 602 250-3393
14		Facsiniie. 002 230-3393
15		
16		
17		
18		
19	8	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

### EXHIBIT "A"

### NONDISCLOSURE AGREEMENT

3	CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION		
4	I have read the foregoing Protective Agreement dated,		
5	2016, <u>IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE</u>		
6	COMPANY FOR A HEARING TO DETERMINE THE FAIR VALUE OF THE UTILITY		
7	PROPERTY OF THE COMPANY FOR RATEMAKING PURPOSES, TO FIX A JUST		
8	AND REASONABLE RATE OF RETURN THEREON, TO APPROVE RATE		
9	SCHEDULES DESIGNED TO DEVELOP SUCH RETURN, Docket No. E-01345A-16-		
10	0036, and agree to be bound by the terms and conditions of such Agreement.		
11	Court Rich		
12	Name		
13	Signature		
14			
15			
16	Rose Law Group ou		
17	Employer or Firm		
18	1144 E. Stekin Dr., Ste 300		
19	Scottsdale, the 85291		
20	Business Address		
21	OHACOCA DUC FECH		
22	Position or relationship with the Party		
23	Ĭ los		
24	$\frac{1110 6}{2}$		
25	Date '		
26			

### EXHIBIT "B"

### NONDISCLOSURE AGREEMENT

3	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION		
4	I have read the foregoing Protective Agreement dated,		
5	2016, <u>IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE</u>		
6	COMPANY FOR A HEARING TO DETERMINE THE FAIR VALUE OF THE UTILITY		
7	PROPERTY OF THE COMPANY FOR RATEMAKING PURPOSES, TO FIX A JUST		
8	AND REASONABLE RATE OF RETURN THEREON, TO APPROVE RATE		
9	SCHEDULES DESIGNED TO DEVELOP SUCH RETURN, Docket No. E-01345A-16-		
10	0036, and agree to be bound by the terms and conditions of such Agreement.		
11	Court Rich		
12	Name		
13	$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}$		
14			
15	Signature		
16	Rose Land Group pe		
17	Employer or Firm		
18	7144 E. Stefson Dr.; Ste 300		
19	Scottsdale, for 85261		
20	Business Address		
21	OHOMAN AC FECA		
22	Position or relationship with the Party		
23			
24	11101V		
25	Date "		
26			